

CLASSICS



A Schematic Model of Baryons and Mesons*

We reproduce here the classic paper titled 'A Schematic Model of Baryons and Mesons' by our featuring scientist M. Gell-Mann. It is in this paper that Gell-Mann proposed quarks as the constituents of protons and neutrons. Since protons and neutrons make up all atomic nuclei, we now know that all matter is composed of quarks.

Interestingly, Gell-Mann took a very ambiguous view of his own proposal. He did not take a strong stand with respect to the reality of quarks. He tended to regard quarks as mathematical objects from which he could derive his favourite 'current algebra'. Quarks attained the status of real particles only after two important experiments – discovery of psi particle and the high energy electron-proton scattering experiments at Stanford Linear Accelerator.

Georg Zweig independently proposed the quarks, but called them 'aces'.

G Rajasekaran
Email: graj@imsc.res.in

*DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12045-019-0853-x>

Reprinted with permission from *Physics Letters*, Vol.08, Issue.03, pp.214–215, 1964, Elsevier Publications.



A SCHEMATIC MODEL OF BARYONS AND MESONS *

M. GELL-MANN

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California

Received 4 January 1964

If we assume that the strong interactions of baryons and mesons are correctly described in terms of the broken "eightfold way" ¹⁻³, we are tempted to look for some fundamental explanation of the situation. A highly promised approach is the purely dynamical "bootstrap" model for all the strongly interacting particles within which one may try to derive isotopic spin and strangeness conservation and broken eightfold symmetry from self-consistency alone ⁴. Of course, with only strong interactions, the orientation of the asymmetry in the unitary space cannot be specified; one hopes that in some way the selection of specific components of the F-spin by electromagnetism and the weak interactions determines the choice of isotopic spin and hypercharge directions.

Even if we consider the scattering amplitudes of strongly interacting particles on the mass shell only and treat the matrix elements of the weak, electromagnetic, and gravitational interactions by means of dispersion theory, there are still meaningful and important questions regarding the algebraic properties of these interactions that have so far been discussed only by abstracting the properties from a formal field theory model based on fundamental entities ³ from which the baryons and mesons are built up.

If these entities were octets, we might expect the underlying symmetry group to be SU(8) instead of SU(3); it is therefore tempting to try to use unitary triplets as fundamental objects. A unitary triplet *t* consists of an isotopic singlet *s* of electric charge *z* (in units of *e*) and an isotopic doublet (*u*, *d*) with charges *z*+1 and *z* respectively. The anti-triplet $\bar{t}$ has, of course, the opposite signs of the charges. Complete symmetry among the members of the triplet gives the exact eightfold way, while a mass difference, for example, between the isotopic doublet and singlet gives the first-order violation.

For any value of *z* and of triplet spin, we can construct baryon octets from a basic neutral baryon singlet *b* by taking combinations (*bti*), (*btti*), etc. **. From (*bti*), we get the representations 1 and 8, while from (*btti*) we get 1, 8, 10, 10, and 27. In a similar way, meson singlets and octets can be made out of (*t\bar{t}*), (*t\bar{t}\bar{t}*), etc. The quantum num-

ber $n_t - n_{\bar{t}}$ would be zero for all known baryons and mesons. The most interesting example of such a model is one in which the triplet has spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and *z* = -1, so that the four particles *d*⁻, *s*⁻, *u*⁰ and *b*⁰ exhibit a parallel with the leptons.

A simpler and more elegant scheme can be constructed if we allow non-integral values for the charges. We can dispense entirely with the basic baryon *b* if we assign to the triplet *t* the following properties: spin $\frac{1}{2}$, *z* = $-\frac{1}{3}$, and baryon number $\frac{1}{3}$. We then refer to the members *u* $\frac{1}{3}$, *d* $-\frac{1}{3}$, and *s* $-\frac{1}{3}$ of the triplet as "quarks" ⁶ *q* and the members of the anti-triplet as anti-quarks $\bar{q}$. Baryons can now be constructed from quarks by using the combinations (*qqq*), (*qqq\bar{q}*), etc., while mesons are made out of (*q\bar{q}*), (*qq\bar{q}\bar{q}*), etc. It is assuming that the lowest baryon configuration (*qqq*) gives just the representations 1, 8, and 10 that have been observed, while the lowest meson configuration (*q\bar{q}*) similarly gives just 1 and 8.

A formal mathematical model based on field theory can be built up for the quarks exactly as for *p*, *n*, Λ in the old Sakata model, for example ³ with all strong interactions ascribed to a neutral vector meson field interacting symmetrically with the three particles. Within such a framework, the electromagnetic current (in units of *e*) is just

$$i\left\{\frac{2}{3} u \gamma_{\alpha} u - \frac{1}{3} \bar{d} \gamma_{\alpha} d - \frac{1}{3} \bar{s} \gamma_{\alpha} s\right\}$$

or $\mathcal{F}_{3\alpha} + \mathcal{F}_{8\alpha}/\sqrt{3}$ in the notation of ref. 3). For the weak current, we can take over from the Sakata model the form suggested by Gell-Mann and Lévy ⁷, namely $i \bar{p} \gamma_{\alpha} (1 + \gamma_5) (n \cos \theta + \Lambda \sin \theta)$, which gives in the quark scheme the expression ***

$$i \bar{u} \gamma_{\alpha} (1 + \gamma_5) (d \cos \theta + s \sin \theta)$$

* Work supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

** This is similar to the treatment in ref. 1). See also ref. 5).

*** The parallel with $i \bar{u}_0 \gamma_{\alpha} (1 + \gamma_5) e$ and $i \bar{u}_{\mu} \gamma_{\alpha} (1 + \gamma_5) \mu$ is obvious. Likewise, in the model with *d*⁻, *s*⁻, *u*⁰, and *b*⁰ discussed above, we would take the weak current to be $i(\bar{b}^0 \cos \theta + \bar{u}^0 \sin \theta) \gamma_{\alpha} (1 + \gamma_5) s^- + i(\bar{u}^0 \cos \theta - \bar{b}^0 \sin \theta) \gamma_{\alpha} (1 + \gamma_5) d^-$. The part with $\Delta(n_t - n_{\bar{t}}) = 0$ is just $i \bar{u}^0 \gamma_{\alpha} (1 + \gamma_5) (d^- \cos \theta + s^- \sin \theta)$.

or, in the notation of ref. 3),

$$[\mathcal{F}_{1\alpha} + \mathcal{F}_{1\alpha}^5 + i(\mathcal{F}_{2\alpha} + \mathcal{F}_{2\alpha}^5)] \cos \theta \\ + [\mathcal{F}_{4\alpha} + \mathcal{F}_{4\alpha}^5 + i(\mathcal{F}_{5\alpha} + \mathcal{F}_{5\alpha}^5)] \sin \theta.$$

We thus obtain all the features of Cabibbo's picture⁸⁾ of the weak current, namely the rules $|\Delta I| = 1$, $\Delta Y = 0$ and $|\Delta I| = \frac{1}{2}$, $\Delta Y/\Delta Q = +1$, the conserved $\Delta Y = 0$ current with coefficient $\cos \theta$, the vector current in general as a component of the current of the F-spin, and the axial vector current transforming under SU(3) as the same component of another octet. Furthermore, we have³⁾ the equal-time commutation rules for the fourth components of the currents:

$$[\mathcal{F}_A(x) \pm \mathcal{F}_A^5(x), \mathcal{F}_{k4}(x') \pm \mathcal{F}_{k4}^5(x')] = \\ - 2f_{jkl} [\mathcal{F}_{l4}(x) \pm \mathcal{F}_{l4}^5(x)] \delta(x-x'), \\ [\mathcal{F}_A(x) \pm \mathcal{F}_A^5(x), \mathcal{F}_{k4}(x') \mp \mathcal{F}_{k4}^5(x')] = 0,$$

$i = 1, \dots, 8$, yielding the group $SU(3) \times SU(3)$. We can also look at the behaviour of the energy density $\theta_{44}(x)$ (in the gravitational interaction) under equal-time commutation with the operators $\mathcal{F}_A(x') \pm \mathcal{F}_A^5(x')$. That part which is non-invariant under the group will transform like particular representations of $SU(3) \times SU(3)$, for example like $(3, \bar{3})$ and $(\bar{3}, 3)$ if it comes just from the masses of the quarks.

All these relations can now be abstracted from the field theory model and used in a dispersion theory treatment. The scattering amplitudes for strongly interacting particles on the mass shell are assumed known; there is then a system of linear dispersion relations for the matrix elements of the weak currents (and also the electromagnetic and gravitational interactions) to lowest order in these interactions. These dispersion relations, unsubtracted and supplemented by the non-linear commutation rules abstracted from the field theory, may be powerful enough to determine all the matrix elements of the weak currents, including the effective strengths of the axial vector current matrix elements compared with those of the vector current.

It is fun to speculate about the way quarks would behave if they were physical particles of finite mass

(instead of purely mathematical entities as they would be in the limit of infinite mass). Since charge and baryon number are exactly conserved, one of the quarks (presumably $u\frac{2}{3}$ or $d-\frac{1}{3}$) would be absolutely stable*, while the other member of the doublet would go into the first member very slowly by β -decay or K-capture. The isotopic singlet quark would presumably decay into the doublet by weak interactions, much as Λ goes into N. Ordinary matter near the earth's surface would be contaminated by stable quarks as a result of high energy cosmic ray events throughout the earth's history, but the contamination is estimated to be so small that it would never have been detected. A search for stable quarks of charge $-\frac{1}{3}$ or $+\frac{2}{3}$ and/or stable di-quarks of charge $-\frac{2}{3}$ or $+\frac{1}{3}$ or $+\frac{4}{3}$ at the highest energy accelerators would help to reassure us of the non-existence of real quarks.

These ideas were developed during a visit to Columbia University in March 1963; the author would like to thank Professor Robert Serber for stimulating them.

References

- 1) M. Gell-Mann, California Institute of Technology Synchrotron Laboratory Report CTSL-20 (1961).
- 2) Y. Ne'eman, Nuclear Phys. 26 (1961) 222.
- 3) M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. 125 (1962) 1067.
- 4) E.g.: R. H. Capps, Phys. Rev. Letters 10 (1963) 312; R. E. Cutkosky, J. Kalckar and P. Tarjanne, Physics Letters 1 (1962) 93; E. Abers, F. Zachariasen and A. C. Zemach, Phys. Rev. 132 (1963) 1831; S. Glashow, Phys. Rev. 130 (1963) 2132; R. E. Cutkosky and P. Tarjanne, Phys. Rev. 132 (1963) 1354.
- 5) P. Tarjanne and V. L. Teplitz, Phys. Rev. Letters 11 (1963) 447.
- 6) James Joyce, Finnegan's Wake (Viking Press, New York, 1939) p. 383.
- 7) M. Gell-Mann and M. Lévy, Nuovo Cimento 16 (1960) 705.
- 8) N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Letters 10 (1963) 531.

* There is the alternative possibility that the quarks are unstable under decay into baryon plus anti-di-quark or anti-baryon plus quadri-quark. In any case, some particle of fractional charge would have to be absolutely stable.

